

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8

9 RIZALINO CAYABO,) No. C 10-3081 JSW (PR)
10 Petitioner,) **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**
11 vs.)
12 B. CURRY, Warden,)
13 Respondent.)
14 _____)

15 **INTRODUCTION**
16

17 Petitioner, a prisoner of the State of California, currently incarcerated at the
18 California Men's Colony in San Luis Obispo, California, has filed a habeas corpus
19 petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging the decision of the Board of Parole
20 Hearings ("Board") to deny him parole in 2008. He has paid the filing fee. This order
21 directs Respondent to show cause why the petition should not be granted.

22 **BACKGROUND**
23

24 According to the petition, in 1985, Petitioner was convicted of second-degree
25 murder and was sentenced to a term of 17 years-to-life in state prison. In this habeas
26 action, Petitioner does not challenge his conviction, but instead challenges the decision
27 of the Board to deny him parole. He alleges that he has exhausted state judicial remedies
28 as to all of the claims raised in his federal petition.

//

DISCUSSION

I Standard of Review

This court may entertain a petition for a writ of habeas corpus “in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States.” 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a).

It shall “award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto.” *Id.* § 2243.

II Legal Claims

Petitioner claims that the denial of parole violated his right to due process because it was not supported by sufficient evidence of his current dangerousness. Liberally construed, the allegations are sufficient to warrant a response from Respondent.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

1. The Clerk shall serve by certified mail a copy of this order and the petition, and all attachments thereto, on Respondent and Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on Petitioner.

2. Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner, within **ninety (60)** days of the date the order is filed, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of all portions of the state record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition. If Petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within **thirty (30)** days of the date the answer is filed.

3. Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an

1 answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing
2 Section 2254 Cases. If Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court
3 and serve on Respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition within **thirty (30)**
4 days of the date the motion is filed, and Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on
5 Petitioner a reply within **fifteen (15)** days of the date any opposition is filed.

6 4. It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner must keep
7 the Court informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper captioned "Notice
8 of Change of Address." He must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion.
9 Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant
10 to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 DATED: November 29, 2010



13

JEFFREY S. WHITE
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RIZALINO CAYABO,

Case Number: CV10-03081 JSW

Plaintiff,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

V.

BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS et al.,

Defendant.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on November 29, 2010, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Rizalino G. Cayabo
D09912
California Men's Colony East
P.O. Box 8101
San Luis Obispo, CA 93409-8101

Dated: November 29, 2010

Jennifer Ottolini

Richard W. Wiekling, Clerk
By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk